UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
15/637,747	06/29/2017	Simon Phillips	P03672-US-UTIL (M01.475)	8558

125619 7590 08/22/2024

Mastercard International Incorporated
c/o Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC
134 Main Street
Suite 301
New Canaan, CT 06840

EXAMINER	
BRINDLEY, BENJAMIN S	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3697	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/22/2024	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

colabella@bmtpatent.com
martin@bmtpatent.com
szpara@bmtpatent.com

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.** 15/637,747	**Applicant(s)** Phillips et al.		
	Examiner BENJAMIN S BRINDLEY	**Art Unit** 3697	**AIA (First Inventor to File) Status** Yes	**Page** 1 of 1

All Participants (applicant, applicants representative, PTO personnel)	**Title**	**Type**
BENJAMIN S BRINDLEY	Primary Examiner	Telephonic
STEPHAN J FILIPEK	Attorney	

Date of Interview: 19 August 2024

Issues Discussed:

35 U.S.C. 101

Subsequent to the 112 discussion, Mr. Filipek made inquiry regarding 101. Further discussion followed regarding technological aspects of the application, and claims reflecting an improvement in technology, with Examiner Brindley noting the potential for 101 applicability to be subject to additional guidance and interpretation.

No agreement was reached regarding the 101 issue.

35 U.S.C. 112

Examiner Brindley noted that the strike of language within proposed amended Claim 1 would adequately address the 112 issue.

/BENJAMIN S BRINDLEY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3697	

Applicant is reminded that a complete written statement as to the substance of the interview must be made of record in the application file. It is the applicants responsibility to provide the written statement, unless the interview was initiated by the Examiner and the Examiner has indicated that a written summary will be provided. See MPEP 713.04
Please further see:
MPEP 713.04
Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews, paragraph (b)
37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.