UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
18/306,074	04/24/2023	Katherine Yerre Koehler	0546-US08CON	2826

184103 7590 07/21/2026

PATTERSON + SHERIDAN, L.L.P. - Dexcom
24 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1600
Houston, TX 77046

EXAMINER
JANG, CHRISTIAN Y

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3791	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/21/2026	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Dexcom.pair@anaqua.com
Dexcom@pattersonsheridan.com
pair_eofficeaction@pattersonsheridan.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 18/306,074	Applicant(s) Koehler et al.		
	Examiner CHRISTIAN JANG	Art Unit 3791	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status Yes	Page 1 of 2

All Participants (applicant, applicants representative, PTO personnel)	Title	Type
CHRISTIAN JANG	Primary Examiner	Telephonic
Melissa Patterson	Attorney	
Simon Ford	Attorney	
Paul Klecha	Attorney	
Sepp Zangeneh	Attorney	

Date of Interview: 16 July 2026

Issues Discussed:

Proposed Amendment(s)

Applicant proposed claim language to overcome the Saidara reference. The examiner, once confirming that the "device-derived physiological data" is not intended to include user input of time, noted that the examiner did not find apparent support for the limitation. Applicant will be submitting a formal response detailing the support.

In addition, examiner noted that the use of the phrase "time period" implies that there is a start and end time for the time of decreased level of responsiveness, but the proposed language only has a single trigger for determining the commencement and not when it ends. Applicant agreed to consider this in the formal response.

No formal agreement was made at this time.

☑ Attachment

/CHRISTIAN JANG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3791	

Applicant is reminded that a complete written statement as to the substance of the interview must be made of record in the application file. It is the applicants responsibility to provide the written statement, unless the interview was initiated by the Examiner and the Examiner has indicated that a written summary will be provided. See MPEP 713.04
Please further see:
MPEP 713.04
Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews, paragraph (b)
37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete

and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.