UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
18/611,498	03/20/2024	Daniel Hunter	56710-0002001	9701

26191 7590 12/05/2025
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (TC)
PO BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER	
LE, MICHAEL	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2163	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/05/2025	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	**Application No.** 18/611,498	**Applicant(s)** Hunter et al.		
	Examiner MICHAEL LE	**Art Unit** 2163	**AIA (First Inventor to File) Status** Yes	**Page** 1 of 2

All Participants (applicant, applicants representative, PTO personnel)	**Title**	**Type**
MICHAEL LE	Examiner	Telephonic
ERIC SCHULMAN (43350)	Attorney of Record	

Date of Interview: 03 December 2025

Issues Discussed:

35 U.S.C. 103

Applicant's representative gave a brief overview of the invention and discussed the invention's intent on handling hallucinations in AI model responses by providing citations to supporting sentences.

Examiner acknowledged the arguments in the agenda that it's unclear what Madisetti is providing citations to and what the citations actually are. Examiner explained Zhou was relied upon for much of the hallucination handling features and discloses determining supporting sentences for a sentence in a natural language response. Zhou at para. 0047. Examiner noted that the combination of Madisetti with Zhou would result in citations to these specific supporting sentences determined by Zhou. Moreover, in preparation for the interview, Examiner noted that Zhou discloses providing "indications of the supporting sentences in the natural language context information ... alongside the natural language answer." Zhou at para. 0074. In other words, Zhou discloses outputting citations along with the natural language answer , where the citations are to supporting sentences from the documents from which the answer is provided.

Applicant's representative acknowledged Examiner's explanation. It was briefly discussed to incorporate limitations to specifically claim how the ranking is performed by the invention, which Applicant's representative stated is performed by a model.

While not specifically noted during the interview, Examiner would suggest providing limitations beyond simply stating that the ranking is performed by a model.

No particular agreement was reached.

Other

Attached is the agenda provided by Applicant's representative.

☑ Attachment

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 18/611,498	Applicant(s) Hunter et al.		
	Examiner MICHAEL LE	Art Unit 2163	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status Yes	Page 2 of 2

/MICHAEL LE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2163	/TONY MAHMOUDI/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2163

Applicant is reminded that a complete written statement as to the substance of the interview must be made of record in the application file. It is the applicants responsibility to provide the written statement, unless the interview was initiated by the Examiner and the Examiner has indicated that a written summary will be provided. See MPEP 713.04
Please further see:
MPEP 713.04
Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews, paragraph (b)
37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.