UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
18/822,013	08/30/2024	Malathy MUTHU	ITU2413326PA	2201

193806 7590 01/30/2026

Dinsmore & Shohl LLP - Intuit
255 E Fifth St
Suite 1900
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER
CHOY, PAN G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3624	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/30/2026	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cincypair@dinsmore.com
intuit@dinsmore.com
nick.transier@dinsmore.com

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 18/822,013	Applicant(s) MUTHU et al.		
	Examiner PAN CHOY	Art Unit 3624	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status Yes	Page 1 of 2

All Participants (applicant, applicants representative, PTO personnel)	Title	Type
PAN CHOY	Primary Examiner	Telephonic
Hyeon Kim (Reg. No. 78663)	Attorney of Record	
Nicholas Transier (Reg. No. 68743)	Attorney of Record	

Date of Interview: 28 January 2026

Issues Discussed:

Proposed Amendment(s)

Applicant's Representatives and Examiner discussed Applicant's proposed amendments based on the features as shown in paragraphs [0020] and [0061] of the Specification. The Examiner respectfully indicated that the features (e.g., machine learning model, large language model) described in [0020] appear to be in the right direction to overcome the ineligibility. However, in order for a machine learning model to integrate the judicial exception into a practical application, the claim must be properly recited in such a way, for example, training a machine learning model in some specified way with technical details include at least one algorithm/function and training dataset; performing the algorithm/function using the trained machine learning model with the required data; optimizing the training dataset based on determination of the outcome satisfies the accuracy level, and retraining/updating the machine learning model with optimized/feedback data to improve the functionality of the machine learning model each time when executed by the processor. Applicant's Representatives stated that they would consider the discussion and submit a response. The Examiner agreed to make himself available to review the response. No agreement as to allowable subject matter was reached.

/PAN G CHOY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3624	

Applicant is reminded that a complete written statement as to the substance of the interview must be made of record in the application file. It is the applicants responsibility to provide the written statement, unless the interview was initiated by the Examiner and the Examiner has indicated that a written summary will be provided. See MPEP 713.04
Please further see:
MPEP 713.04
Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews, paragraph (b)
37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete

and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.