UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
18/839,093	08/16/2024	Xueheng QIU	P00135USX (G39.083)	3139

193994 7590 06/01/2026

GRABTAXI HOLDINGS PTE. LTD. c/o
Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC
134 Main Street
Suite 301
New Canaan, CT 06840

EXAMINER
GOMEZ, CHRISTOPHER ALBERT

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3628	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/01/2026	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing@bmtpatent.com
maschoff@bmtpatent.com

Applicant-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 18/839,093	Applicant(s) QIU et al.		
	Examiner CHRIS GOMEZ	Art Unit 3628	AIA (First Inventor to File) Status Yes	Page 1 of 1

All Participants (applicant, applicants representative, PTO personnel)	Title	Type
CHRIS GOMEZ	Primary Examiner	Telephonic
Kurt Maschoff	Attorney	

Date of Interview: 27 May 2026

Issues Discussed:

35 U.S.C. 101

Discussed proposed amendments in light of 35 USC 101 rejections. The amendments do not bring the claims to patent eligibility. No agreement was reached.

Attorney and Examiner discussed a few other potential paths to patent eligibility including the controlling of an autonomous vehicle, detailed training of an artificial intelligence, and an improvement to a technology or technical field. No agreement was reached.

Proposed Amendment(s)

Discussed proposed amendments in light of 35 USC 101 rejections. The amendments do not bring the claims to patent eligibility. No agreement was reached.

/CHRISTOPHER GOMEZ/ Examiner, Art Unit 3628	

Applicant is reminded that a complete written statement as to the substance of the interview must be made of record in the application file. It is the applicants responsibility to provide the written statement, unless the interview was initiated by the Examiner and the Examiner has indicated that a written summary will be provided. See MPEP 713.04
Please further see:
MPEP 713.04
Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews, paragraph (b)
37 CFR § 1.2 Business to be transacted in writing

Applicant recordation instructions: The formal written reply to the last Office action must include the substance of the interview. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, applicant is given a non-extendable period of the longer of one month or thirty days from this interview date, or the mailing date of this interview summary form, whichever is later, to file a statement of the substance of the interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.